

Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Report

2010-11

District : Name :

TINTIC DISTRICT

Number: 29

Grade Span :

3-8



Did district make AYP?

Yes*

2011 - 14

		L	anguage Arts	3		Mathematics					
			Safe H	Harbor				Safe H	Harbor		
Group	Participation	Academic Achievement	10 % Rule	Additional ² Indicator	Group OK?	Participation	Academic ⁵ Achievement	10 % Rule	Additional ² Indicator	Group OK?	
Whole LEA	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	
Asian											
African American											
American Indian											
Caucasian	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	
Hispanic	NA	NA			Yes	NA	NA			Yes	
Pacific Islander											
Economically Disadvantaged	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	
Limited English Proficient											
Students with Disabilities	NA	Yes*			Yes*	NA	Yes			Yes	

Did the district and every group make AYP in the content area?

Grade Span Language Arts Mathematics

3-8 Yes* Yes

10-12 Yes No

Did the district make AYP? Yes*

Language Arts Mathematics

Is the district in Program Improvement? No

Year in Program Improvement

5 2011 Secondary math calculations use only Algebra 1 scores of 10th grade students

KEY

Yes Group meets the requirement

No Group does not meet the requirement

Yes* Group within width of confidence interval of meeting requirement

NA Group too small to be required to meet standard

Shaded Cell Group not required to meet this requirement

© 2011 Utah State Office of Education Page 1 of 4

¹ To determine Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) as required by the U.S. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 107-110, NCLB)

² Grad Rate is used as the additional indicator for all High School Student Groups. For all other reports attendance is used for the additional indicator.

³ GOAL = The percent of students who need to score at the proficient level which is defined as reaching level 3 or level 4 on the Core CRT Assessment.

⁴ These subgroups passed using the Same Student Safe Harbor calculation



Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Report 2010-11

District : Name :

TINTIC DISTRICT

Number: 29

Grade Span :

3-8



Did district make AYP?

Yes*

2011 - 14

	Language Arts (GOAL ³ = 83%)					Mathematics (GOAL ³ = 45%)					Attendance Graduation				
	Participation	2011 Tes	t Scores	2010 Test	Scores	Group	Particip	ation	2011 Tes	t Scores	2010 Tes	t Scores	Group	Rate	Rate
Group	N %	N	%	N	%	OK?	Ν	%	N	% ⁵	N	%	OK?	%	%
Whole LEA	101 100	98	90	93	88	Yes	101	100	98	83	91	81	Yes	93	
Asian														N<40	
African American														N<40	
American Indian														N<40	
Caucasian	98 100	95	89	91	88	Yes	98	100	95	83	89	81	Yes	93	
Hispanic	3N<40	3	N<10	2	N<10	Yes	3	N<40	3	N<10	2	N<10	Yes	N<40	
Pacific Islander														N<40	
Economically Disadvantaged	46 100	46	89	51	80	Yes	46	100	46	87	50	72	Yes	92	
Limited English Proficient														N<40	
Students with Disabilities	11N<40	11	82	12	67	Yes*	11	N<40	11	91	12	50	Yes	N<40	

Did the district and every group make AYP in the content area?

Grade Span	Language Arts	Mathematics		
3-8	Yes*	Yes		
10-12	Yes	No		
ĺ	Mathematics			

Did the district make AYP? Yes*

Year in Program Improvement

Is the district in Program Improvement? No

1 To determine Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) as required by the U.S. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 107-110, NCLB)

2 Grad Rate is used as the additional indicator for all High School Student Groups. For all other reports attendance is used for the additional indicator.

3 GOAL = The percent of students who need to score at the proficient level which is defined as reaching level 3 or level 4 on the Core CRT Assessment.

4 These subgroups passed using the Same Student Safe Harbor calculation

5 2011 Secondary math calculations use only Algebra 1 scores of 10th grade students

KEY

Yes Group meets the requirement

No Group does not meet the requirement

Yes* Group within width of confidence interval of meeting requirement

NA Group too small to be required to meet standard

Shaded Cell Group not required to meet this requirement

© 2011 Utah State Office of Education Page 2 of 4



Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Report 2010-11

Name : TINTIC DISTRICT

District:

Number: 29 Grade Span: 3-8

OF THE DISCALIO

Did district make AYP?

Yes*

2011 - 14

	Language Arts (GOAL =83.0%)				Ма	thematics	Additional Indicator			
	Participation %		Academic Achievement		Participation %		Academic A	Achievement	Attendance Rate	
Group	District State		District	State	District	State	District	State	District	State
All Students	100	100	90	81	100	100	83	76	93	95
Asian		100		82		100		79	N<40	97
AfAm/Black		100		64		100		52	N<40	95
American Indian		100		60		100		52	N<40	93
White	100	100	89	85	100	100	83	81	93	95
Hispanic/Latino	N<40	100	N<10	63	N<40	100	N<10	55	N<40	95
Pacific Islander		100		73		100		66	N<40	95
Economically Disadvantaged	100	100	89	70	100	100	87	65	92	95
Limited English Proficient		100		51		100		45	N<40	96
Students with Disabilities	N<40	100	82	54	N<40	100	91	49	N<40	94

5 2011 Secondary math calculations use only Algebra 1 scores of 10th grade students

KEY

Yes Group meets the requirement

No Group does not meet the requirement

Yes* Group within width of confidence interval of meeting requirement

NA Group too small to be required to meet standard

Shaded Cell Group not required to meet this requirement

© 2011 Utah State Office of Education Page 3 of 4

¹ To determine Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) as required by the U.S. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 107-110, NCLB)

² Grad Rate is used as the additional indicator for all High School Student Groups. For all other reports attendance is used for the additional indicator.

³ GOAL = The percent of students who need to score at the proficient level which is defined as reaching level 3 or level 4 on the Core CRT Assessment.

⁴ These subgroups passed using the Same Student Safe Harbor calculation



Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Report 2010-11

District : Name :

me : TINTIC DISTRICT

Number: 29 Grade Span: 3-8

OFFICE OF THE CALLO

Did district make AYP?

Yes*

2011 - 14

of LEP students exempted from AYP calculations: 0

Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles, Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2010-2011

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
TINTIC DISTRICT	36	36	72	50.00%	50.00%
Elementary	1	10	11	9.09%	90.91%
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0		
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0		
Other Poverty Quartiles	1	10	11	9.09%	90.91%
Secondary	35	26	61	57.38%	42.62%
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0		
4th Poverty Quartile	16	4	20	80.00%	20.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	19	22	41	46.34%	53.66%

^{**} This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

5 2011 Secondary math calculations use only Algebra 1 scores of 10th grade students

KEY

Yes Group meets the requirement

No Group does not meet the requirement

Yes* Group within width of confidence interval of meeting requirement

NA Group too small to be required to meet standard

Shaded Cell Group not required to meet this requirement

© 2011 Utah State Office of Education Page 4 of 4

^{** 4}th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

¹ To determine Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) as required by the U.S. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 107-110, NCLB)

² Grad Rate is used as the additional indicator for all High School Student Groups. For all other reports attendance is used for the additional indicator.

³ GOAL = The percent of students who need to score at the proficient level which is defined as reaching level 3 or level 4 on the Core CRT Assessment.

⁴ These subgroups passed using the Same Student Safe Harbor calculation